### City of York Council

## **Equalities Impact Assessment**

# Who is submitting the proposal?

Directorate:		Place		
Service Area:		Highways		
Name of the proposal :		Bishopthorpe Bridge Strengthening		
Lead officer:		Siavosh Mahmoodshahi		
Date of assessment	:	31-01-2024		
Names of those who contributed to the assessment:				
Name	Job title	Organisation	Area of expertise	
Siavosh Structure Manager Mahmoodshahi		CYC Project Lead		

### Step 1 – Aims and intended outcomes

1.1	What is the purpose of the proposal?
1.1	<ul> <li>What is the purpose of the proposal?</li> <li>Bishopthorpe Bridge is an 11.52m single span pre-cast, pre-stressed concrete beam bridge supported on brick abutments. The structure carries the unclassified Appleton Road over a Sustrans Cycle track at OS Grid Reference SE 59000 47349.</li> <li>An assessment of Bishopthorpe Bridge by 'Structural and Civil Consultants' in 2021 found the structure to be incapable of carrying 40 tonnes of assessment live loading (ALL) and recommended that an 18 tonnes weight restriction should be imposed. The assessment report raised concerns that failure could be brittle and give little warning. Additionally, the condition of the service bay is in poor condition and there are also concerns regarding the high level wingwalls. To safeguard the structure and the public, an 18-month Temporary Traffic Regulation Order (TTRO) restricting vehicles to 18 tonnes came into force on 6th October 2023.</li> <li>A strengthening feasibility report was commissioned and an agreed option for strengthening is now being progressed. The proposed works are for a corrugated steel structure to be constructed below the existing bridge deck with the gap between the new steel structure and the existing deck to be filled with mass foam concrete and topped with non-shrink grout. The steel structure would become the primary deck element and is to be designed to accept the loading of the existing deck and 40 tonnes of ALL. This will bring the structure back up to current highway loading standards and the</li> </ul>

1.2	Are there any external considerations? (I	_egislation/government directive/codes of practice etc.)
-----	---	--

Highways Act 1980
DMRB design and assessment codes
Who are the stakeholders and what are their interests?
<ul> <li>Car owners, pedestrians, local residents – bridge users</li> <li>CYC internal departments</li> <li>Sustrans – bridge and cycleway owner</li> <li>Local businesses – require access across structure</li> <li>Utility companies – Know buried services in the vicinity of the works.</li> </ul>
What results/outcomes do we want to achieve and for whom?
<ul> <li>a. On removal of the TTRO, the structure is to be fit for purpose for 40 tonnes of Assessment Live Loading or with a permanent 18 tonnes restriction in place.</li> <li>b. A refurbished structure with the service bay and brickwork repairs carried out as a minimum.</li> <li>c. An enhanced structure life – if the corrugated steel arch option is taken forwards the primary deck element will have a design life of 120 years with reduced maintenance and inspection costs.</li> </ul>

## Step 2 – Gathering the information and feedback

2.1 What sources of data, evidence and consultation feedback do we have to help us unders the impact of the proposal on equality rights and human rights?		
Source of data/supporting evidence	Reason for using	
Planning Consultation	The planned communication/engagement activity is designed to ensure that residents, visitors, road users, businesses and other stakeholders are aware of the project, understand the work being undertaken and the likely impact it will have on them, so they can plan for any disruption. An initial list of stakeholders has been identified, but the full list of stakeholders will be updated throughout the programme, where necessary, in conjunction with the project delivery team. A stakeholder mapping exercise will be completed, with stakeholders subsequently categorised to help ensure communications are not only relevant to the audience but can be delivered as efficiently as possible via the most appropriate form of engagement. Where suitable, key stakeholders will be used as intermediaries to deliver key information to their community/network (for example the cycling officer to cycle groups). Communications will be phased, with initial engagement focussed on agreeing the works details and necessary consents, followed by engagement with identified affected people, businesses, and groups. A more general engagement phase will be conducted prior to the commencement of works. A liaison officer will be identified, together with contact details for stakeholders to use, throughout the works; and all stakeholders will receive notification of completion of the	

## Step 3 – Gaps in data and knowledge

3.1	What are the main gaps in information and understanding of the impact of your proposal? Please indicate how any gaps will be dealt with.		
Gaps in data or knowledge		Action to deal with this	
N/A			

Step 4 – Analysing the impacts or effects.

4.1	Please consider what the evidence tells you about the likely impact people sharing a protected characteristic, i.e. how significant could make any adjustments?				
Equality and Human	/ Groups Rights.			High (H) Medium (M) Low (L)	
Age		N/A	Neutral	N/A	
Disabili	ty	Disability groups to be consulted with respect to the existing and proposed access. However, the scheme is not thought to improve or disadvantage disability groups.	Neutral	N/A	

Gender	N/A	Neutral	N/A
Gender Reassignment	N/A	Neutral	N/A
Marriage and civil partnership	N/A	Neutral	N/A
Pregnancy and maternity	N/A	Neutral	N/A
Race	N/A	Neutral	N/A
Religion and belief	N/A	Neutral	N/A
Sexual orientation	N/A	Neutral	N/A
Other Socio- economic groups including :	Could other socio-economic groups be affected e.g. carers, ex-offenders, low incomes?		
Carer	N/A	Neutral	N/A

Low income groups	N/A	Neutral	N/A
Veterans, Armed Forces Community	N/A	Neutral	N/A
Other	N/A	Neutral	N/A
Impact on human rights:			<u>.</u>
List any human rights impacted.	N/A	Neutral	N/A

#### Use the following guidance to inform your responses:

Indicate:

- Where you think that the proposal could have a POSITIVE impact on any of the equality groups like promoting equality and equal opportunities or improving relations within equality groups
- Where you think that the proposal could have a NEGATIVE impact on any of the equality groups,
   i.e. it could disadvantage them
- Where you think that this proposal has a NEUTRAL effect on any of the equality groups listed below i.e. it has no effect currently on equality groups.

It is important to remember that a proposal may be highly relevant to one aspect of equality and not relevant to another.

<b>High impact</b> (The proposal or process is very equality relevant)	There is significant potential for or evidence of adverse impact The proposal is institution wide or public facing The proposal has consequences for or affects significant numbers of people The proposal has the potential to make a significant contribution to promoting equality and the exercise of human rights.
Medium impact (The proposal or process is somewhat equality relevant)	There is some evidence to suggest potential for or evidence of adverse impact The proposal is institution wide or across services, but mainly internal The proposal has consequences for or affects some people The proposal has the potential to make a contribution to promoting equality and the exercise of human rights
Low impact (The proposal or process might be equality relevant)	There is little evidence to suggest that the proposal could result in adverse impact The proposal operates in a limited way The proposal has consequences for or affects few people The proposal may have the potential to contribute to promoting equality and the exercise of human rights

#### Step 5 - Mitigating adverse impacts and maximising positive impacts

5.1		Based on your findings, explain ways you plan to mitigate any unlawful prohibited conduct or unwanted adverse impact. Where positive impacts have been identified, what is been done to optimise opportunities to advance equality or foster good relations?	
	<ol> <li>The scheme will be designed through careful consultation with a range of stakeholders and members of the public to create greatly enhanced and inclusive proposals. Disability groups will be consulted and any requirements will be incorporated into the final design.</li> </ol>		
2. Step 6 – Recommendations and conclusions of the assessment			
6.1		Having considered the potential or actual impacts you should be in a position to make an informed judgement on what should be done. In all cases, document your reasoning that justifies your decision. There are four main options you can take:	

<ul> <li>No major change to the proposal – the EIA demonstrates the proposal is robust. There is no potential for unlawful discrimination or adverse impact and you have taken all opportunities to advance equality and foster good relations, subject to continuing monitor and review.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Adjust the proposal - the EIA identifies potential problems or missed opportunities. This involves taking steps to remove any barriers, to better advance quality or to foster good relations.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Continue with the proposal (despite the potential for adverse impact) – you should clearly set out the justifications for doing this and how you believe the decision is compatible with our obligations under the duty</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Stop and remove the proposal – if there are adverse effects that are not justified and cannot be mitigated, you should consider stopping the proposal altogether. If a proposal leads to unlawful discrimination it should be removed or changed.</li> </ul>

**Important:** If there are any adverse impacts you cannot mitigate, please provide a compelling reason in the justification column.

Option selected	Conclusions/justification	
No major change to the proposal.	On removal of the TTRO, the structure is to be fit for purpose	
	for 40 tonnes of Assessment Live Loading or with a	
	permanent 18 tonnes restriction in place. Brickwork and soffit	
	bay repairs to be carried out if the permanent restriction or	
	single-lane traffic options are to be progressed.	

# Step 7 – Summary of agreed actions resulting from the assessment

7.1 What action, k	What action, by whom, will be undertaken as a result of the impact assessment.				
Impact/issue	Action to be taken	Person responsible	Timescale		
Initiate detailed feasibility study	CYC to organise a decision session to initiate feasibility/options regarding disability groups	Siavosh Mahmoodshahi	By end of April 2024		

ETA 02/2021

# Step 8 - Monitor, review and improve

8.1	How will the impact of your proposal be monitored and improved upon going forward?		
	On scheme completion, a further Audit will be carried to ensure the ongoing safety to pedestrians, cyclists and other road users.		